Management of Postoperative Pseudomeningocele following Posterior Cranial Fossa Surgery
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ABSTRACT
Objective: Pseudomeningoceles are common complications after posterior fossa surgery. Management guidelines are lacking, and radically different suggested treatments varying from observation to immediate surgical intervention are encountered in literature. The purpose of our study was to detail our experience in the management of pseudomeningocele following posterior fossa surgery.

Methods: A retrospective, single-center study was conducted on 137 patients who underwent elective posterior fossa surgery for a variety of diseases. Patients with post operative pseudomeningocele formation were initially treated with conservative measures including bed rest, head elevation, pressure dressing and CSF lumbar drainage. Surgical re-exploration and repair of the dural rent was done in case the pseudomeningocele didn’t settle with these conservative measures. In patients having gross hydrocephalus on post operative CT scan a VP (ventriculo-peritoneal) shunt was done in case the conservative measures failed.

Results: There were 8 (5.8%) cases of pseudomeningocele formation after posterior fossa surgery. The pseudomeningocele didn’t settle with conservative measures in any case. Surgical re-exploration and repair of the dural rent lead to the settlement of pseudomeningocele in four cases. VP shunting for gross hydrocephalus on post operative CT lead to the settlement of the pseudomeningocle in the other four cases.

Conclusion: Although it has been stated in literature that most cases of pseudomeningocele settle with conservative treatment with only a few requiring surgical intervention, our findings were different with conservative measures failing to resolve the pseudomeningocele in all the cases. We believe that it would be beneficial to take an aggressive attitude toward this condition and to consider the possibility of early surgical intervention more seriously.
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INTRODUCTION
Pseudomeningocele is a common complication after posterior fossa surgery.1–4 It may cause complications such as cosmetic deformities, positional headache, chronic meningitis, and/or impingement on vital structures resulting in neurological deficits.5,6 The incidence of clinically relevant pseudomeningocele formation after posterior fossa surgery ranges from 4 – 23% in literature.6,12

Management guidelines are lacking, and different suggested treatments varying from observation to immediate surgical intervention are encountered in literature.1,2,13 The usual treatment algorithm consists of initially starting with nonoperative measures including pressure dressing, bed rest, and lumbar spinal drainage.5,14,15 In case these conservative measures fail...
surgical intervention may be required. Postoperative ventriculomegaly, however, portends failure of temporary cerebrospinal fluid diversion, and early consideration of shunting might be appropriate in such cases. The purpose of our study was to detail our experience in the management of pseudomeningocele following posterior fossa surgery.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
The study was a retrospective, single-center study conducted in the Department of Neurosurgery, Unit-1, Lahore General Hospital from November 1, 2012 to June 30, 2016. Patients of both sexes and all age groups operated for posterior fossa pathologies were included in the study. Post-operative pseudomeningocele formation at any point in time was noted. Pseudomeningocele was defined as significant fluid collection present beneath the incision causing cosmetic deformity noted on follow-up postoperative physical examination. Solely radiographic pseudomeningoceles were excluded since these are small and asymptomatic, and do not require any intervention. Other complications were not considered in this study.

In case of pseudomeningocele formation initially conservative measures were instituted in all the patients. These conservative measures included bed rest, head elevation, pressure dressing and CSF lumbar drainage. Surgical re-exploration and repair of the dural rent was done in case the pseudomeningocele didn’t settle with these conservative measures. In patients having gross hydrocephalus on post-operative CT scan a VP shunt was done in case the conservative measures failed. The development of meningitis at any point in time was also noted. The follow up of all the patients was of four months.

RESULTS
Patient demographics that were operated for posterior fossa pathologies in the Department of Neurosurgery, Unit-1, Lahore General Hospital from 01-11-2012 to 30-04-2016 are given in table 1. The different operative pathologies are given in table 2, and the different surgical approaches are given in table 3. Overall, pseudomeningocele formed in 8 (5.8%) cases out of the 137 cases operated for posterior fossa pathologies. Pseudomeningocele formed in 4 (44.5%) cases of cerebellar SOLs, 40 (29.2%) cases of cerebellopontine SOLs, 11 (8%) cases of foramen magnum SOLs, 6 (4.4%) cases of Chiari malformations and 19 (13.9%) cases of trigeminal neuralgia.

In all the eight cases of pseudomeningocele formation conservative measures including bed rest, pressure dressing and CSF lumbar spinal drainage were instituted. However, the pseudomeningocele did not settle with these measures in any case. Four of these patients had developed hydrocephalus on postoperative CT scan. A VP shunt was done in these patients once the meningitis had settled, as they had developed gross hydrocephalus on post-operative CT scans.

### Table 1: Patient Demographics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient Demographics</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean age (Range)</td>
<td>33.7 (5 – 69)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>87 (63.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>50 (36.5%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2: Pathology

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathology</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4th ventricle/cerebellar SOLs</td>
<td>61 (44.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebellopontine (CP) Angle SOLs</td>
<td>40 (29.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foramen magnum SOLs</td>
<td>11 (8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chiari malformations</td>
<td>6 (4.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trigeminal neuralgia</td>
<td>19 (13.9%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3: Approach

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approach</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Posterior midline suboccipital approach</td>
<td>78 (57%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suboccipital retrosigmoid approach</td>
<td>59 (43%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the remaining four patients a surgical re-exploration was carried out. A dural rent was found in all the cases that was repaired with duroplasty by application of a graft taken from the pericranium. The pseudomeningocele also settled in these four patients. All these eight patients were later on discharged in stable condition.

Three of the eight patients presenting with pseudomeningocele developed meningitis. With CSF lumbar drainage and antibiotics the meningitis improved but the pseudomeningocele didn’t decrease in size. A VP shunt was done in these three patients once the meningitis had settled, as they had developed gross hydrocephalus on post-operative CT scans.
DISCUSSION

Posterior fossa surgery traditionally implies use of a craniectomy, in other words: permanent bone removal, without any type of bone replacement. Pseudomeningoceles are one of the most frequent complications of a craniectomy.\textsuperscript{17} Pseudomeningoceles may cause complications such as cosmetic deformities, debilitating symptoms such as positional headache, chronic meningitis, impingement on vital structures with neurological deficits,\textsuperscript{5,6} significant diminishing of benefits of suboccipital decompression for Chiari Malformation related symptoms\textsuperscript{7}, and rarely reported complications such as spontaneous intracerebellar migration of a pseudomeningocele\textsuperscript{18} and posterior fossa cyst formation with brain stem compression.\textsuperscript{5}

Its management can be challenging and treatment options vary in literature, and there is no consensus on the optimal management strategy.\textsuperscript{1,2,13} As management guidelines are lacking so an international survey was carried out and opinions from neurosurgeons throughout the world were sought on the topic of management of pseudomeningocele after posterior fossa surgery. It was found that pseudomeningoceles after posterior fossa tumor resection, in the absence of hydrocephalus, were typically managed nonoperatively for 7 to 14 days before re-exploration. Only 0.5% of the surgeons would offer upfront repair of the pseudomeningocele. In the presence of hydrocephalus, 48% of the neurosurgeons intervene initially with cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) diversion and would change therapy if the lesion did not resolve in 2 to 4 days. It was concluded in this international survey that initial observation is appropriate for cranial pseudomeningoceles. Operative revision should be reserved for failure of conservative treatment.\textsuperscript{1} This also is the usual treatment regimen followed and nonoperative measures like pressure dressing, bed rest and CSF lumbar drainage lead to the settlement of pseudomeningoceles in the majority of cases.\textsuperscript{14,15} In case of post operative ventriculomegaly CSF shunting may be required as ventriculomegaly portends failure of CSF lumbar drainage.\textsuperscript{1,2}

In our study the incidence of pseudomeningocele was 5.8% which falls within the range of 4 – 23% stated in literature. However, what was surprising was the fact that conservative measures failed to resolve the pseudomeningocele in all the eight cases that it was employed in. Dural rent repair, and in case of hydrocephalus VP shunting led to the settlement of pseudomeningocele. Our findings are thus similar to the findings of Jito et al\textsuperscript{16} where all the three cases of pseudomeningocele required surgical repair of the CSF fistula. We thus reach the conclusion that it would be beneficial to take an aggressive attitude toward this condition and to consider the possibility of early surgical intervention more seriously.

CONCLUSION

Although it has been stated in literature that most cases of pseudomeningocele settle with conservative treatment with only a few requiring surgical intervention, our findings were different with conservative measures failing to resolve the pseudomeningocele in all the cases. We believe that it would be beneficial to take an aggressive attitude toward this condition and to consider the possibility of early surgical intervention more seriously.
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