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Comparison of Surgical Outcomes of ventriculoperitoneal (VP) Shunt at Choudhary’s Point vs. Keen’s Point
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ABSTRACT:

Objectives: The study compared the outcomes of VP shunt at Choudhary’s vs Keen’s point.

Material and Methods: A quasi-observational study was conducted on 50 patients who presented to NS-2, PINS, with the complaint of hydrocephalus. The study was conducted for 3 months from 1st November 2021 to 31st Jan 2022.

Results: Mean age was 40 years. In 25 (50%) patients, VP shunts were done through Choudhary’s point while in 25 (50%) patients VP shunts were done through Keen’s point. All patients were evaluated on day 3rd POD, 7th POD, 15th POD, and 90th POD. All patients were improved on 3rd POD. On the 7th POD, 15 (30%) patients deteriorated and showed signs of raised ICP. In these patients, the upper end of VP shunts is again revised due to blockage. On 15th POD, the upper end of VP shunts was blocked in 3 (6%) patients and their upper end was revised. On 90th POD, 2 (4%) patients were presented with upper-end blockage, and again shunt revision was done. VP shunts in all these patients were done through keen’s point approach. Blockage of the lower end of VP shunt occurred in 10% of patients in which 8% were operated through Keen’s point approach while resting 2% of patients were operated through Choudhary’s point approach. Slit like ventricle syndrome occurred in 2% of patients operated through Choudhary’s point approach.

Conclusion: VP shunts through Choudhary’s point approach yield good results as compared to Keen’s point approach. This site is described by professor Muhammad Anwar Choudhary, as more convenient for insertion of VP shunt.
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INTRODUCTION
In hydrocephalus abnormal accumulation of CSF in ventricles occurs. In babies head size is increased while in older people, headache, diplopia, gait disturbance, and urinary incontinence occur. It can cause vomiting, sleepiness, seizure, etc. Hydrocephalus can be due to aqueductal stenosis, meningitis, brain tumors, traumatic brain injuries, IVH, SAH, etc., hydrocephalus can be communicating, non-communicating, even under normal pressure. Hydrocephalus can be treated by medical and surgical treatment. In surgical treatment, we can do a lumbar puncture, lumbar drainage, EVD, ETV, and shunt placement. There are many complications of VP shunts including over drainage, under drainage, mechanical failure, infection, or obstruction. Hydrocephalus is present in 2 per 1000 newborn babies. In developing countries, rates may be higher. For short-term relief, we use external ventricular drain (EVD). For long-term relief, we use cerebral shunts. In this way, CSF is drained. For obstructive hydrocephalus, we use endoscopic third ventriculostomy (ETV). Frazier’s point is 6 cm above the inion and 3 cm lateral to the midline. It is a common site for insertion of the ventriculoperitoneal shunt (VP shunt). Keen’s point is located 3 cm posterior-superior to the helix of the ear. Choudhary’s point is 6 cm above the inion and 4 cm lateral to the midline. This site is invented by professor Muhammad Anwar Choudhary. Shunt infections commonly occur due to gram-positive cocci and fungus. Shunt infection can be treated with antibiotics, externalization of the shunt, and removal of the shunt with external ventricular drain (EVD) placement. The shunt can be blocked in the proximal or distal end. Extra protein and arachnoid granulations at the proximal end can block the shunt end. The shunt can be blocked by over drainage and slit ventricle syndrome. Overdrainage can lead to Chiari I malformation. Slit ventricle syndrome occurs several years after shunt implantation.

MATERIAL AND METHODS
Study Design& Setting
It is a quasi-observational study of 50 patients in 3 months from 1st November 2021 to 31st Jan 2022.
Inclusion Criteria
Patients having hydrocephalus, age range 10-70 years, GCS above 4/15, and patients having co-morbidities and poly-trauma were included in the study.

Exclusion Criteria
Patients having GCS below 4/15, active infection, and patients having ages less than 10 years and greater than 70 years were excluded from the study.

Group of Patients
Patients were divided into two groups. In group A, 25 patients were included and their VP shunts were done through Keen’s point approach.

In group B, 25 patients were included and their VP shunts were done through Choudhary’s point approach.

In the group, A 25 patients were included and their VP shunts were done through Keen’s point approach. In group B 25 patients were included and their VP shunts were done through Choudhary’s point approach.

Data Collection & Analysis
Patients’ data were entered on preformed Performa for collection. SPSS version 22 was used for data analysis. A Chi-square test was applied to see the significant results. Cross tabulation for age, gender, and etiology distributions.

Surgical Management
For VP shunts we used two approaches; KEEN’S POINT approach (3 cm posterior-superior to the helix of the ear) and Choudhary’s Point approach (6 cm above the Inion and 4 cm lateral to midline). This is the first ever article published for VP shunt at Choudhary’s Point approach.

RESULTS
In the group, A 25 patients were included and their VP shunts were done through Keen’s point approach. In group B 25 patients were included and their VP shunts were done through Choudhary’s point approach.

Age Incidence
The age range was 10 – 70 years with a mean of 40 years. There were 15 patients in group A with ages between 10 – 40 years and 15 patients in group B with ages between 40 – 70 years. There were 15 patients in group A with ages between 10 – 40 years and 15 patients in group B with ages between 40 – 70 years. There were 10 patients in group A with ages between 40 – 70 years and 10 patients in group B with ages between 10 – 40 years.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Age Incidence.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 – 40 Years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 – 70 Years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(insignificant result)
Gender Incidence
In our study, 40 patients were male while 10 patients were females. In the group, A 25 patients were included and their VP shunts were done through Keen’s point approach. In group B 25 patients were included and their VP shunts were done through Choudhary’s point approach.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Group A</th>
<th>Group B</th>
<th>Chi-square</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.776</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION
The age of our patients was in the range of 10 – 70 years and with a mean age of 40 years. In our study, 40 (80%) patients were male and 10 (20%) patients were female. All patients had hydrocephalus regardless of etiology.

VP shunt was done through Choudhary’s point in 50% of patients while through Keen’s point in 50% of patients. We used medium pressure VP shunts in all (98%) cases except in one (2%) patient.

Our patients had the following co-morbidities diabetes mellitus, hypertension, chronic kidney disease, ischemic heart disease, and some had a history of trauma.

Our patients were presented with a history of altered state of consciousness, multiple episodes of vomiting, fits and headache, dementia, gait disturbance, and urinary incontinence. For all patients, we operated within 12 hours of admission. Neurosurgical facilities were not present in peripheral hospitals so patients always present with complications or are late.

In 50% of patients who were operated through Keen’s point approach, 30% of patients were operated on again due to blockage of the upper end of the VP shunt on the 7th post-operative day. Four percent of patients were again operated on due to blockage of the upper end of the VP shunt on the 15th post-operative day. And 2 % of patients have operated again for revision of the VP shunt due to blockage of the upper end on the 90th post-operative day.

We performed all baseline, ECG, chest X-ray, and bleeding profiles were monitored. Their PT, APTT, INR, BT, and CT were performed before the operation. LP manometry was done to rule out NPH in 20% of patients. CSF complete examination and culture were done in 10% of patients to rule out infection.

In all cases, a CT brain plan was performed before surgery. Postoperatively, a CT brain plain was performed to see shunt placement, functioning, and hydrocephalus. BP was maintained in the range of 140 – 160 mmHg. In a CT scan brain, hydrocephalus, over drainage, intracranial hemorrhage, and slit-like ventricle syndrome were evaluated.

In our study, infection of the flap occurred in 4% of patients, 2% of patients were operated through Keen’s point and 2% of patients were operated through Choudhary’s point approach. Intracerebral hemorrhage occurred on 2% of patients operated through Keen’s point approach.

Table 2: Gender Incidence.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Group A</th>
<th>Group B</th>
<th>Chi-square</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.776</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Etiology</th>
<th>Group A</th>
<th>Group B</th>
<th>Chi-square</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TBM</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumour</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPH</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>(insignificant result)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-Traumatic</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>(insignificant result)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Etiology.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complications</th>
<th>Keen’s Point Approach</th>
<th>Choudhary’s Point Approach</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Infection</td>
<td>1 – 2%</td>
<td>1 – 2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blockage of upper end</td>
<td>15 – 40%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blockage of lower end</td>
<td>4 – 8%</td>
<td>1 – 2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clot formation</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slit ventricle</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peritonitis</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemiparesis</td>
<td>2 – 4%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Post-operative complications after VP shunt.

Rana Zubair Mahmood, et al: Comparison of Surgical Outcomes of ventriculoperitoneal (VP) Shunt at Choudhary’s Point

http://www.pakjns.org
Overdrainage after VP shunt occurred in 2% of patients all through keen’s point approach. In this patient, we placed a high-pressure VP shunt. Blockage of the upper end of VP shunt occurred in 36% of patients who were operated through the keen’s point approach. Blockage of the lower end of VP shunt occurred in 10% of patients. Eight percent of patients were operated through Keen’s point approach while two percent of patients were operated through Choudhary’s point approach. Peritonitis occurred in 4% of patients 3% were operated through Keen’s point while 1% of patients were operated through Choudhary’s point approach. Hematoma occurred immediately at the flap site in 2% of patients operated through Choudhary’s point approach. Slit-like ventricle syndrome occurred in 2% of patients operated through Choudhary’s point approach. Pseudocyst occurred in 10% of cases.

In our study, there was no improvement in 2 patients and they died. These patients have multiple comorbidities. According to Duong et al. shunt placement through Frazier point reduces the risk of malfunctioning. Junaid et al. advocated that shunting through keen’s point yields good results.22-23

The follow-up period was 3 months through OPD. Patients were given antibiotics, antiepileptics, pain killers, mannitol, acetazolamide, and anti-emetics for medical management. Patients were advised to get a medical check-up for co-morbidities too.

Recommendations

VP shunts through Choudhary’s point yielding good results and there are fewer chances of obstruction and complications.

CONCLUSION

Patients who operated through Choudhary’s point showed better outcomes and fewer complications. The following advantages were observed: good outcome, less blockage rate, lesser hospital stay, easy to insert shunt as ventricles are in alignment, and less chance to damage the visual cortex.

Limitations

Patients come from remote areas in PINS. So, they present late and there is less compliance with treatment in the community.
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