Posterior Lumbar Interbody Fusion in Degenerative Lumbar Spine Disease and Risk of Adjacent Segment Disease
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ABSTRACT

Objective: To determine the chances of adjacent segment disease (ASD) and risk factors after posterior lumbar interbody fusion (PLIF).

Material and Methods: The 110 patients of both genders with degenerative lumbar instability at L4/5 level were included in my study. We did PLIF in all our patients and followed our patients for one year. The following parameters were measured: the degree of lumbar lordosis, the degree lumbosacral angle, the disc space height and their dynamic angulation and the displacement of L3 over L4. We checked the outcome with the help of the Japanese orthopedic association (JOA) and Oswestry disability index (ODI). We divided the patients into groups A and B; group A includes patients with progression of degeneration at the proximal level (L3-L4), while group B with no progression of disease at proximal level.

Results: The 86 patients (78.18%) were in group A, and 24 patients (21.88%) were in group B. There were no significant difference in radiological parameters of both groups; lumbosacral angle of lordosis, L3 laminar inclination angle, preoperative degenerative changes at proximal level, L4–L5 lordosis and BMD before surgery. The clinically and statistically significant differences were of the age of the patients falling in two groups. We found that at the completion of study ODI and JOA were not significantly different in both groups (P > 0.05).

Conclusion: Degenerative lumbar disease is an age related disease with no significant effect of radiological degenerations on the final outcome of our patients. No other possible risk factor has a significant effect on outcome.
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INTRODUCTION

Most common cause of low backache and disability is a degenerative lumbar spine disease. Many spine surgeons are working on it and many treatment options (medical and surgical) are available for this. Lumbosacral spine fixation and fusion is mostly performed procedure for this disease. Multiple surgical techniques are being used to fuse the lumbar spine by the spinal surgeon, i.e., posterior fixation with or without lumbar interbody fusion (PLIF). Spinal fixation and fusions changes the biomechanics of spine. PLIF gives strong fusion with instrumented fixation at the cost of increasing movements at adjacent level. Age is a significant risk factor for degeneration of the spine and adjacent segment disease. Still, there are controversies that whether spinal fusion leads to adjacent segment disease or not. Battie et al., in their study reported that adjacent segment degeneration is a natural disease process and has no definite association with spinal fusion. Older age is a risk factor for radiological degeneration of the spine. Lee et al proved that interbody device can lead...
to adjacent segment disease\(^7\). Fusion and fixation of the lumbar spine are the most commonly performed procedure, but it’s not without complications. Lad et al, proved that increase rate of complications for laminectomy 4.8% vs. 8.3% for instrumented fusions and at 5 years reoperation rate was 10.6% with laminectomy versus 18.4% when spinal instrumentation added\(^8\). Spinal fusion is the most commonly performed procedures for degenerative lumbar spine\(^9\). So we conducted the study at our centre to see the association of fixation and fusions with adjacent segments degenerations.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study Design**

Prospective observational study. We conducted this study at Punjab institute of neurosciences (PINS), Lahore from June 2015 to June 2018.

**Inclusion Criteria**

we included 110 patients with lower back pain due to radiological proven grade 1 and 2 instability at L4 – L5. We did PLIF with Titanium cages filled with autologous bone followed by Transpedicular fixation. We included 52 males and 58 females and our patients mean age was 55.8 years (range 35 – 70 years). We followed all our patients for 1 year.

**Exclusion Criteria**

The patients with multilevel degenerative lumbar disease and disease proximal to L4 – 5 and with comorbid conditions and not fit for anesthesia were excluded from our study.

**Data Collection**

The following parameters were measured in all patients on pre-operative X-ray and entered on a proforma; degree of lumbar lordosis, degree of lumbosacral angle, the disc space height and their dynamic angulation and the displacement of L3 over L4.

**Data Analysis**

The adjacent segment disease was if disc space height is less than 3mm with dynamic angulation more than 5 degrees with more than 3 mm displacement of L3 over L4. The JOA score and ODI were used for outcomes measurements. All entered data was analyzed with SPSS version 22 and t test was used. P value < 0.05 was taken as significant.

**RESULTS**

**Gender Incidence**

At conclusion of our study 86 patients (78.18%) were in group A and 24 patients (21.88%) were in group B.

**Age Incidence**

The patients of both groups had significant different in age; 45.3 and 59.5 years respectively.

**Clinical Data**

The degrees of lordosis with the lumbosacral joint angle, the lordosis measurements at L4–L5, L4–L5 disc height, displacement of L4, the laminar inclination angle at L3 had no difference in groups A and B.

**Table 1: Parameters of Groups A and B.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Group A n = 86</th>
<th>Group B n = 24</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age of patients.</td>
<td>49.6 ± 9</td>
<td>62.8 ± 10</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMD</td>
<td>−1.08 ± 0.21</td>
<td>−1.25 ± 0.21</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angle of Inclination at L3 Laminae</td>
<td>126 ± 2</td>
<td>130 ± 3</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lordosis Angle of Lumbar Spine</td>
<td>23.33 ± 7.22</td>
<td>26.22 ± 6.55</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lumbosacral Joint Angle</td>
<td>13.15 ± 0.33</td>
<td>11.31 ± .33</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disc Space Height of L4-L5</td>
<td>1.53 ± 1.10</td>
<td>1.99 ± 1.73</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lordosis Angle of L4-L5</td>
<td>12.65 ± 0.65</td>
<td>13.40 ± 1.45</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sliding Distance of L4 Body</td>
<td>1.07 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.19 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DISCUSSION
The degeneration of the lumbar spine is normal aging process. It can present with low back pain, with sensory or motor neurological deficit and sphincter problems. Spinal segment is a mobile segment and carries the weight of the body. After fixation and fusion of any mobile spinal segment, the movements of that segment are compensated at the adjacent segment of the spine leading to adjacent segment disease\textsuperscript{10}. If there is an adjacent segment disease, it will require some treatment in the form of 2\textsuperscript{nd} surgery, physiotherapy or medical treatment. It’s also proved in another study that spinal decompression with fixation and fusions will alter the biomechanics of spine with more chances of reoperations\textsuperscript{11}. We also studied in our study that what leads to adjacent segment disease and why it happens and how it presents and what treatment will be required if it happens. Many spine surgeons are not still clear what causes of ASD are. Only few studies are available that showed that alteration in biomechanics of the spine leads to more movements with increase pressure at the adjacent segment leading to ASD\textsuperscript{12}. Weinhoffter et al,\textsuperscript{13} also proved that fixation and fusions lead to increase in pressure in proximal disc. Sim et al,\textsuperscript{14} proved in their cadaveric study that fusion of the spinal segment leads to more movements on proximal levels in flexion, extension and lateral bendings. Many studies are available in the literature, but no one concluded properly that spinal fusion will lead to the movements problems on adjacent level or will do something with sagittal balance of the spine. Some spine surgeons believe that its alterations in sagittal balance that leads to degeneration and adjacent segment disease\textsuperscript{15}. Many spinal surgeons also studied that if proximal disc space is degenerated can it leads to ASD. Some spinal surgeon included proximal degenerative level in fixation also. It is proved in the study that preoperatively proximal degenerative disc disease can cause adjacent segment disease\textsuperscript{16}. It is also proved in another study that intrinsic degenerative changes in disc space can cause adjacent segment disease\textsuperscript{17}. Many spinal surgeons are including a proximal degenerative segment in fusion and they claim that if degenerative segment not included it can cause adjacent segment disease\textsuperscript{18}. Degenerative changes in proximal disc lead to more frequent ASD\textsuperscript{19}. Many of the spinal surgeons come to the conclusion that the proximal preoperative degenerative disc contributes to ASD. Many spinal surgeons believe that screw size, its entry point and orientation should be properly selected. We passed all our screws under flouro guidance with proper orientation and confirmed our screws orientation with the help of CT Scan of lumbar spine with 3D reconstruction. None of our screws violates the proximal facet nor disc space. In one study, they concluded that if screws violate the inferior facet of proximal vertebrae then it can lead to adjacent segment disease at that proximal level\textsuperscript{20}. This point also concluded in another study if screw damages the inferior facet then it will alter the biomechanics at that level leading to adjacent segment disease\textsuperscript{21}. We studied 110 patients for adjacent segment disease after fixation and fusion at L4–L5 and follow-up done in one year and radiologically adjacent segment disease at cranial level was seen in 22.18\% of the patients. However, final clinical outcome with reference to ODI and the JOA scores were not statistically different in both groups. Anandjiwala et al\textsuperscript{22} also proved in their study with 5 years follow up that there are more chances of adjacent segment disease if there are preoperatively more degenerative changes in proximal disc space. In our study, we also confirm that preoperative degenerative changes in proximal disc are a risk factor for ASD at 1 year. The adjacent segment degeneration and adjacent segment disease are not the same entity and adjacent segment disease when symptomatic require 2\textsuperscript{nd} surgery. Instrumented fixation and fusion leads to degeneration at adjacent segment due to alteration in biomechanics\textsuperscript{23}. These changes in biomechanics of the

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
 & \multicolumn{3}{c|}{Group A} & \multicolumn{3}{c|}{Group B} & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{\textit{P}} \\
\hline
 & Pre-operative & At 1 Year & Recovery Rate (\%) & Pre-operative & At 1 Year & Recovery Rate (\%) & \\
\hline
ODI & 37.7 \pm 6.2 & 6.6 \pm 2.2 & 81.1 \pm 26.4 & 38.9 \pm 6.9 & 8.2 \pm 8.5 & 71.7 \pm 19.1 & 0.05 \\
\hline
JOA & 16.3 \pm 3.1 & 16.3 \pm 4.1 & 77.4 \pm 26.3 & 15.3 \pm 1.2 & 16.9 \pm 2.7 & 77.2 \pm 16.4 & 0.05 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Outcomes of Group A and B.}
\end{table}
spine can lead to degenerations in healthy proximal segment post-operatively[2]. We also studied much other risk factors for adjacent segment disease like age, female sex and rigid instrumentation types. The only significant factor was age of the patient. Older the age more chances of ASD.

Many studies for ASD were limited because they included patients with different diagnosis and use multiple techniques for fixation and fixed different spinal levels. So we included patients with only single level L4 – L5 degeneration and used same fixation techniques in all our patients and used the same implant material and isologous bone graft in all our patients.

CONCLUSION
We concluded that radiological degeneration of adjacent segment has no significant effect on final clinical outcome after PLIF. Patient’s age and inferior facet violation by screws are important risk factors for adjacent segment disease.
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